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Abstract
An experiment on studies on the growth and yield of prostrate groundnut variety (Arachis hypogaea L.), as affected by oil palm bunch ash in Umudike, Southeastern, Nigeria, was conducted at the eastern farm of Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Abia State. The research was laid out in a randomized complete block design (RCBD), with three replications. The treatments comprised the oil palm bunch ash levels of 0, 4, 6 and 8 tha-1. Result, showed that number of leaves per plant increased at 4 and 8 weeks after planting (WAP), and declined at 12 WAP due to senescence. Number of branches per plant increased at 4, 8 and 12 WAP, while shoot dry weight increased at 4 and 8 WAP, and declined at 12 due to senescence. Dry matter content was higher at 4 WAP, but dropped at 8 and 12 WAP, due to the translocation of photo-assimilates (biomass) as the crop developed in age. 8 tha-1 of oil palm bunch ash produced highest growth parameters compared with the control (0 tha-1). Improved number of seeds per pod (18.27 pods); number of seeds per plant (27.7 seeds); weight of pods per plant (20.89g); weight of seeds per plant (15.27g), 100-seeds weight (57.8g); harvest index (0.17); shelling percentage (74.2%); pod yield (5.22 tha-1) and seed yield (3.82 tha-1) were obtained at 8 tha-1, although performances were statistically similar. Consequently, 8 tha-1 of oil palm bunch ash is recommended for the cultivation of groundnut in Umudike, Southeastern, Nigeria.
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1. Introduction
Groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.), is a major crop grown in the arid and semi-arid zones of Nigeria. It is either grown for its nut, oil or its vegetative residue (haulms) [5]. Groundnut, also known as peanut, is an important food and cash crop grown across West Africa. The crop is cultivated mainly by small-household and resources poor farmers. It is a self-pollinated, tropical annual legume [27]. It is an important crop in Nigeria as it occupied 13-27% of the total area in some state of the country [34].

Groundnut is a legume that ranks 4th among the oil seed crops and 13th among the food crops of the world. It provides high quality edible oil (48-50%), used in cooking, margarine, salads, easily digestible protein (26 to 28%), and about half of the 13 essential vitamins and more than a 2/3rd of the 20 essential minerals necessary for normal human growth and maintenance. It produces high quality fodder for livestock [5]. Being a legume, it synthesizes atmospheric nitrogen into root nodule with a symbiotic association of Rhizobium and thereby improves soil for fertility [5].

The main factors responsible for low yield in groundnut are the inadequate use of nutrients as well as nutrient deficiencies. Organic manure such as oil palm bunch ash is one of the major sources of essential plant nutrients gaining importance in groundnut production. Oil palm bunch ash is an organic residue derived from the incineration of oil palm bunch refuse after fruit extraction. According to Akpan [7], the scarcity and high cost of inorganic fertilizer led to intensification of research into low-cost, internally sourced, cheap, affordable and adoptable organic materials that could serve as liming agents and fertilizers. Akpan [7]; Akpan and Mbah [8] and Akata [9] studied effect of liming materials such as plant derived ash and saw dust on cucumber and cassava yields, the materials were found to increase soil P0 and crop yield. Ntare, et al. [10]; Obi [11] and Muyin-Jesu [12] found out that plant derived ash including those of wood and cocoa pod, increased soil chemical properties, P0 and yield of vegetables, cassava and maize. Mbah and Akpan [13] reported that ash is an effective fertilizer and liming material for improving soil fertility, while Ikhe, et al. [4] stressed that combined application of oil palm bunch ash and poultry manure at 2.5 tha-1 rate each resulted to high tuber yield of water yam. Effiong, et al. [14] found that oil palm bunch ash and poultry manure treatments increased the soil P0, O.M, N, P, K, Ca, Mg by 6%, 13%, 19%, 28%, 32% and 21% respectively. Akpan [8] reported that oil palm bunch ash increased soil nutrient contents and uptake of nutrients by maize and these effects led to significant increase in maize yield.

Essien [15] attributed the superiority of organic manure to the slow release of balanced nutrient during decomposition. This makes organic waste of greater residual beneficial effects distributed over longer period than inorganic fertilizers Asiegbe [16]. Gomez and Gomez [17] reported high growth and yield of groundnuts at 4 tha-1 of oil palm bunch ash manure. The objective of this research therefore, is to evaluate the growth and yield of prostrate groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) as affected by oil palm bunch ash in Umudike, Southeastern, Nigeria.

2. Materials and Methods
The experiment was conducted at the Teaching and Research Farm of Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, during 2018 cropping season. Umudike is located on latitude 05° 29'N and longitude 05° 36' E, on the elevation of 122m above sea level. It has a maximum and minimum temperature of 33°C and 21°C, annual rainfall of 21, 690.8mm and relative humidity range of 50%-95% respectively. Rainfall pattern is however bimodal, with rainfall commencing from March to October, with a short dry spell in August. NRCRI [18].

The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design. (RCBD) with three replications. The treatments comprised the oil palm bunch ash levels of 0, 4, 6 and 8 tha-1. The prostrate groundnut variety (G153), used in this study was obtained from the Institute for Agricultural Research (IAR), Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Kaduna State. The experimental layout was 24. 5 x 7. 4 m (181.3 m2), with each plot measuring 1.8 m x 2.0 m; 0.5 m spacing between plot and 1 m spacing between replicates. Planting spacing was 50 cm x 30 cm, resulting in a plant population of 66,667 plants ha-1.

Before planting, the site was mechanically ploughed and subsequently harrowed. Planting was done in May 5th on bed. Two to three seeds were planted per hole, while thinning to one plant per stand was conducted at 14 days after planting (DAP). Oil palm bunch ash manure at the rates of 0,2, 4, 6 and 8 tha-1, was applied by ring method after thinning at 14 DAP. Empty oil palm bunches were obtained from a local oil mill. They were dried properly before incineration to obtain the ash. The research plots were weeded once at 3 WAP, with hoe, while insect pests were controlled by the use of cypermethrin-10 EC. at 2.5 ml litre-1 of water using a knapsack sprayer once at 4 WAP [19]. Harvesting was conducted at the end of August, precisely 4 months after planting.

Data collection on vegetative parameters such as: number of leaves per plant, number of branches per plant, shoot dry weight per plant and dry matter content were conducted at 4, 8 and 12 WAP, while reproductive parameters including: number of pods per plant, number of seeds per plant, weight of pods per plant, weight seeds per plant, 100 – seed weight, harvest index, shelling percentage, pod yield and seed yield (tha-1), were obtained after harvest at maturity.

Before the commencement of the research, composite soil samples were randomly collected at the depth 0-20cm for both physical and chemical analyses. Oil palm bunch ash manure was equally analyzed, while meteorological data bordering on rainfall (mm), relative humidity (%) and temperature (°C) were obtained from the meteorological unit of National Root Crops Research Institute (NRCRI), Umudike. Both, vegetative and reproductive parameters were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) method [20] while Fisher's Least Significant Difference (F-LSD) at 5% probability level was adopted to separate means.
### 3. Results and Discussions

#### 3.1. Meteorological Properties of the Research Site

The meteorological properties of the research site Table 1 revealed that monthly rainfall increased from the month of April, (597.5mm) and dropped in the month of November (45mm) preparatory to the commencement of dry season. Both minimum and maximum temperatures were almost stable throughout the year, although with insignificant fluctuations. Relative humidity on the other hand increased from March (80%), with obvious drop in November due to the beginning of dry season Table 1. The fluctuation in relative humidity was tandem with that of monthly rainfall. The rainfall situation was in line with the recommendation of Ibia and Udo [21]; Chude, et al. [22] for groundnut cultivation in southeastern Nigeria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Months</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sept</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rainfall (mm)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>357.5</td>
<td>246.6</td>
<td>326.6</td>
<td>257.0</td>
<td>173.3</td>
<td>354.7</td>
<td>273.4</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temps °C Min.</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>32.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R/Humidity (%)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Meteorological unit, NBCRI, Umudike.

#### 3.2. Physico-Chemical Properties of the Research Site

The physico-chemical properties of the research site Table 2 showed that the soil was sandy loam in texture, while the sand fraction of (67.2%) was capable of sustaining the growth of groundnut. The pH of 4.2, showed that the site was strongly acidic, and not in conformity with the pH of 5.5 to 6.5 recommendation by Ibia and Udo [21] and Chude, et al. [22]. Organic matter (0.87%); organic carbon (0.5%) and potassium (0.079 cmolkg⁻¹) were very low, while nitrogen (0.182%) and phosphorus (67.8 cmolkg⁻¹) were moderate and high respectively. The site therefore, requires liming or addition of plant ash for the remediation of its acidic status.

The properties of oil palm bunch ash showed that the acidity level was low due to the high pH of 10.8. It is very rich in almost all the analyzed parameters including oil palm bunch ash are veritable liming and fertilizer materials.

#### 3.3. Growth of Groundnut as Affected by Oil Palm Bunch Ash

The evaluated growth attributes showed that number of leaves per plant Table 3 for all treatment increased at 4 and 8 WAP, with a decline at 12 WAP due to senescence. Higher number of leaves per plant was recorded at 8 WAP for the treatments, while 8 tha⁻¹ of oil palm bunch ash produced highest number of leaves per plant of 73.7 (4WAP); 116.2 (8WAP) and 146.0 (12WAP), compared with the control. Number of branches per plant increased at 4, 8 and 12 WAP, with 8 tha⁻¹ of oil palm bunch ash producing highest number of branches per plant of 6.85, (4WAP); 7.58 (8 WAP) and 8.83 (12 WAP) compared with 0 tha⁻¹ (control), although performances were statistically similar.

Shoot dry weight Table 3 also increased at 4, 8, and dropped at 12 WAP due to the process of senescence, while WAP produced higher shoot dry weight for all treatments. 8 tha⁻¹ of oil palm bunch ash gave higher shoot dry weight of 21.3% (4WAP); 69.2% (8WAP) and 45.8% (12WAP), compared with the control.

Dry matter content Table 3 for all treatments was high at 4 WAP, with a decline at both 8 and 12WAP due to probably to the translocation of phyto-assimilate to sinks as the crop increased in age. 8 tha⁻¹ of oil palm bunch ash produced highest dry matter content of 25.4% (4 WAP); 19.6 (8WAP) and 21.5 (12WAP), compared with the control which produced the least values, although performances were statistically similar Table 3.

Both number of leaves per plant and number of branches per plant were significantly (p<0.05) affected at 12WAP and 4WAP respectively, while shoot dry weight and dry matter contents were not significantly (P> 0.05) affected at 4, 8 and 12 WAP. The increase in number of leaves per plant at 4 and 8 WAP and a decline at 12 WAP, was earlier reported by Akpan [6]; Akpan [7] and Akpan and Mbah [8]; Uko, et al. [22]; Awodun, et al. [20] and Akata [9] all reported higher growth attributes at highest rates of oil palm bunch ash fertilizer, during their respective researches.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Months</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sept</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rainfall (mm)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>357.5</td>
<td>246.6</td>
<td>326.6</td>
<td>257.0</td>
<td>173.3</td>
<td>354.7</td>
<td>273.4</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temps °C Min.</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>32.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R/Humidity (%)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Meteorological unit, NBCRI, Umudike.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil physical properties</th>
<th>Oil palm bunch ash properties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sand (%)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt (%)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay (%)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil chemical properties</th>
<th>Pφ (H₂O)</th>
<th>Organic matter (%)</th>
<th>Organic carbon (%)</th>
<th>Total nitrogen (%)</th>
<th>Available p (mgkg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Calcium (cmolkg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Potassium (cmolkg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Magnesium (cmolkg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Sodium (cmolkg⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pφ (H₂O)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic matter (%)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>8.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic carbon (%)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total nitrogen (%)</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available p (mgkg⁻¹)</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (cmolkg⁻¹)</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium (cmolkg⁻¹)</td>
<td>11.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium (cmolkg⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Field data for soil and oil palm bunch ash samples.

#### Table 3: Effect of oil palm bunch ash on number of leaves per plant, number of branches per plant, shoot dry weight per plant and dry matter content of prostrate groundnut at 4, 8 and 12 WAP during 2018 cropping season.
3.4. Yield of Prostrate Groundnut as Affected by Oil Palm Bunch Ash Fertilizer

All the evaluated yield parameters Table 4 including number of pods per plant, number of seeds per plant, weight of pods per plant, weight of seeps per plant, 100-seed weight, harvest index, shedding percentage (%), pod yield (tha⁻¹) and seed yield (tha⁻¹), all improved with increased levels of oil palm bunch ash; although performances were statistically similar. 8 tha⁻¹ of oil palm bunch ash produced highest number of pods per plant (19.27 pods); number of seeds per plant (27.7 seeds); heavier pods (20.89g); heavier seeds (15.27g); 100-seed weight (57.9g); harvest index (0.17); shedding percentage (74.2%); pod yield (2.22 tha⁻¹) and seed (3.82 tha⁻¹) compared with other treatments with lesser values. The evaluated yield parameters were however not significantly (p>0.05) affected by the treatments, suggesting that their performances were generally statistically similar. This suggests that the acidic status of the site is strongly recommended. This will ensure the release of nutrients to the crops.

Various researchers, including Uko, et al. [27]; Awodun, et al. [28] and Akata [29] all reported improvement in yield parameters with increased rates of oil palm bunch ash fertilizer. The pod yield of 5.22 tha⁻¹ obtained at 8 tha⁻¹ was higher than the pod yield range of 3.000 - 4.500 kg/ha⁻¹ reported by Olorunju [25] while the seed yield of 3.82 tha⁻¹ obtained at 8 tha⁻¹ was higher than 2.38 tha⁻¹ reported by Gomez and Gomez [17]. The seed yield of 3.82 tha⁻¹ at 8 tha⁻¹ of oil palm bunch ash was however lower than the 4.0 tha⁻¹ reported by Uguru [24].

Table 4. Effect of oil palm bunch ash on some selected yield attributes of prostrate groundnut during 2018 cropping season.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>No. of pods per plant</th>
<th>No. of seeds per plant</th>
<th>wt. of pods per plant (g)</th>
<th>wt. of seeds per plant (g)</th>
<th>100 seeds weight (g)</th>
<th>Harvest index</th>
<th>Shelling percentage (%)</th>
<th>Pod yield (tha⁻¹)</th>
<th>Seed yield (tha⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other ⁴</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>16.59</td>
<td>13.69</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 tha⁻¹</td>
<td>12.83</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>18.71</td>
<td>13.93</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 tha⁻¹</td>
<td>14.75</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>19.58</td>
<td>14.35</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 tha⁻¹</td>
<td>18.27</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>20.89</td>
<td>15.27</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Means</td>
<td>14.46</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>18.93</td>
<td>14.31</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD (0.05)</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Field data for reproductive parameters.

4. Conclusion

The research has revealed that the values of both the growth and yield parameters increased with corresponding increases in the rates of oil palm bunch ash, although their performances were generally statistically similar. 8tha⁻¹ of oil palm bunch ash equally produced highest growth and yield attributes, compared with other treatments (control).

In view of the above observed, 8 tha⁻¹ of oil palm bunch ash is hereby recommended for the cultivation of groundnut in Umudike, Southeastern, Nigeria. The application of lime or plant ash for the remediation of the acidic status of the site is strongly recommended. This will ensure the release of nutrients to the crops.
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